

30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test vs. Yo-Yo IR2: Relationship and Ability to Discriminate Performance Levels

Casado Yebras, M.¹, Lázaro Ramírez, J.L.¹, Raya González, J.^{1,2}, Santalla, A.¹, Suárez-Arrones, L.¹

¹Faculty of Sports, Pablo de Olavide University, Seville, Spain.

²Córdoba C.F., Spain.

INTRODUCTION

The Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test Level 2 (Yo-Yo IR2) is one of the most popular tests in soccer and examines the ability to perform repeated high-intensity exercise. Previous studies showed that Yo-Yo IR2 in elite athletes is higher than in non-elite athletes, and the test performance of 16-17-yr-old players was 30% lower than older elite players in the same club (1). The 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test (30-15_{IFT}) assesses high-intensity intermittent running capacity, and the final speed reached at the end of the test (V_{IFT}) can be used for high-intensity interval training prescription (2). The aim of the present study was to examine the relationship and the ability for both tests to discriminate performance levels.

Methods

Sixty-nine soccer elite players and forty-three sub-elite players participate in the study. The protocols of Yo-Yo IR2 and 30-15_{IFT} test have been detailed previously (1,2). We reported the distance covered during the Yo-Yo IR2 and the maximal speed reached at the 30-15_{IFT}. Heart rate (HR) was continuously measured to determine HR_{max} at the end of the tests.

RESULTS

Significant differences in the HR_{max} reached at exhaustion between 30-15_{IFT} and Yo-Yo IR2 were found in elite and sub-elite U16 players, with higher values obtained during the 30-15_{IFT}. There were no differences in the HR_{max} reached between teams in other age groups. The HR_{max} during both tests was significantly lower than the HR_{max} estimated (220-age). Elite U16 soccer players presented significantly higher V_{IFT} than sub-elite U16 players (20.4 ± 0.6 vs. 18.9 ± 1.3 km.h⁻¹, respectively), while there were no differences between teams in the Yo-Yo IR2 (627.7 ± 135.2 vs. 674.7 ± 164.8 m, respectively). There were small correlations between V_{IFT} and Yo-Yo IR2 ($r = 0.26$). Elite U19 soccer players reflected significantly higher V_{IFT} and Yo-Yo IR2 than sub-elite U19 players (20.9 ± 1.4 vs. 18.7 ± 1.4 km.h⁻¹ and 1264.6 ± 343.9 vs. 522.2 ± 80.3 m, respectively). There were very-large correlations between V_{IFT} and Yo-Yo IR2 (m) ($r = 0.84$). Also, Elite senior soccer players showed significantly higher V_{IFT} and Yo-Yo IR2 than sub-elite senior players (21.1 ± 0.8 vs. 19.6 ± 1.1 km.h⁻¹ and 1084.0 ± 150.2 vs. 522.2 ± 106.7 m, respectively). There were very-large correlations between V_{IFT} and Yo-Yo IR2 (m) ($r = 0.77$).

DISCUSSION

In Senior and U19 both tests were able to discriminate elite and sub-elite soccer players. In U16 players, only 30-15_{IFT} reflected differences between performance levels showing higher HR_{max} than Yo-Yo IR2, maybe due to the neuromuscular load caused at this age by executing continuous COD at high speed.

REFERENCES

1. [Bangsbo, J, Iaia, FM, and Krstrup, P. The Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test : a useful tool for evaluation of physical performance in intermittent sports. *Sports Med* 38: 37-51, 2008.](#)
2. [Buchheit, M. The 30-15 intermittent fitness test: accuracy for individualizing interval training of young intermittent sport players. *J Strength Cond Res* 22: 365-374, 2008.](#)

Mail to: martin_casado90@hotmail.com